The effect of root canal taper on the irrigant flow: evaluation using an unsteady Computational Fluid Dynamics model
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Abstract

Aim To evaluate the effect of root canal taper on irrigant flow inside a prepared root canal during final irrigation with a syringe and two types of needles, using a Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) model.

Methodology A validated CFD model was used to simulate irrigant flow from either a side-vented or a flat 30G needle positioned inside size 30, .02 taper, 30, .04, 30, .06, ProTaper F3 or size 60, .02 taper root canals, at 3 mm short of working length (WL). Velocity, pressure and shear stress in the root canal were evaluated.

Results The side-vented needle could not achieve irrigant replacement to the WL in any of the cases. Significant irrigant replacement was evident further than 2 mm apically to the tip of the flat needle in the size 30, .06 taper, F3 and size 60, .02 taper canal. A wider distribution of wall shear stress was noted as the canal taper increased but the maximum shear stress decreased. The flat needle led to higher mean pressure at the apical foramen. Both needles showed a similar gradual decrease in apical pressure as the taper increased, but the least pressure was calculated in the size 60, .02 taper canal.

Conclusions An increase in root canal taper improved irrigant replacement and wall shear stress whilst reducing the risk for irrigant extrusion. Irrigant flow in a minimally tapered root canal with a large apical preparation size also improved irrigant replacement and wall shear stress and reduced the risk for irrigant extrusion, compared to the tapered root canals with a smaller apical preparation size.
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Introduction

The geometrical complexity of the root canal system is considered a limiting factor for the procedures used during root canal treatment (Ørstavik et al. 2004). Elimination of bacteria, removal of tissue remnants and debridement cannot be accomplished solely by mechanical instrumentation (Gulabivala et al. 2005). Thus, irrigation with antibacterial solutions is performed as complement to mechanical preparation (Haapasalo et al. 2005).

The performance of irrigation depends largely on the ability of the irrigant to penetrate the full extent of the root canal system (Salzgeber & Brilliant 1977, Druttman & Stock 1989). Irrigant penetration is influenced by the original anatomy of the root canal
system as well as the final shape created through mechanical preparation (Ram 1977, Chow 1983, Kahn et al. 1995, Gulabivala et al. 2005). It has been reported that increasing the taper of the root canal might result in improved debridement during irrigation (Albrecht et al. 2004). However, this conclusion reflected the combined effect of increased canal taper and positioning of the needle closer to working length (WL) (Albrecht et al. 2004). On the other hand, it has also been reported that there is no significant difference between tapered and minimally tapered root canals in terms of antimicrobial efficacy of both syringe irrigation and apical negative pressure irrigation (Hockett et al. 2008).

Previous studies have not provided sufficient data on the flow pattern developed in the root canal, which is the cause of debridement and irrigant replacement, and whether the flow is affected by changes in root canal geometry. Hence, current understanding of the influence of canal geometry on the flow is largely based upon speculation (Ram 1977, Abou-Rass & Piccinino 1982, Chow 1983, Druttman & Stock 1989, Sedgley et al. 2005, Zehnder 2006).

A Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) model was recently applied to the study of root canal irrigation (Bouts ioukis et al. 2009). A similar approach has also been reported (Gao et al. 2009). The original model was subsequently validated by comparison with experimental high-speed imaging data (Bouts ioukis et al. 2010a) and used to evaluate the effect of various factors, such as needle tip design (Bouts ioukis et al. 2010b) and preparation size (Bouts ioukis et al. 2010c), on the flow. In these previous studies, the taper of the root canal was 6%.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of root canal taper on the irrigant flow inside a prepared root canal during final irrigation with a syringe and two different needle types using the validated CFD model.

Materials and methods

The root canal was simulated as a geometrical frustum of a cone (the portion of a cone which lies between two parallel planes perpendicular to the cone axis). The length of the root canal was 19 mm. Five different root canal shapes were modelled. In the first three cases, the diameter at the most apical point was 0.30 mm (ISO size 30), and the taper of the root canal was 2%, 4% and 6%, respectively. The fourth case was simulated using the shape of the ProTaper F3 instrument (Dentsply Tulsa Dental, York, PA, USA) as a reference. The diameter at the most apical point was 0.30 mm (ISO size 30), and the taper of the root canal was 9% in the apical 3 mm of the canal and then it decreased gradually. The final canal shape was based on data provided by the manufacturer (Dentsply Tulsa Dental Specialties 2009) and on computer image analysis of photos obtained through Stereoscopic Microscope, with AutoCAD 2006 software (AutoDesk, San Rafael, CA, USA). In the fifth case, the diameter at the most apical point was 0.6 mm (ISO size 60), and the taper of the root canal was 2%. The diameter at the canal orifice was 0.68, 1.06, 1.44, 1.21, 0.98 mm, respectively (Fig. 1).

The apical anatomy of the root canal was also simulated as an inverted frustum of a cone with a length of 0.5 mm connected to the original frustum (Fig. 1). The diameter of the apical constriction was set to three ISO sizes smaller than the apical preparation, namely 0.15 mm for the ISO 30 cases and 0.45 mm for the ISO 60 case. The diameter of the apical foramen was set to 0.05 mm larger than the apical constriction. The apical root canal anatomy was modelled to simulate the effect of a patency file used during root canal preparation. The apical foramen was simulated as a rigid and impermeable wall.

Two different needle types, a side-vented and a flat needle, were modelled using commercially available 30G needles as references, similarly to a previous study (Bouts ioukis et al. 2010b). The external and internal diameter and the length of the needles were standardized ($D_{\text{ext}} = 320 \, \mu m$, $D_{\text{int}} = 196 \, \mu m$, $l = 31 \, mm$, respectively). The needles were fixed and centred within the canal, at 3 mm short of WL.

The pre-processor software Gambit 2.4 (Fluent Inc., Lebanon, NH, USA) was used to build the 3-D geometry and the mesh. A hexahedral mesh was constructed and refined near the walls and in the areas where high gradients of velocity were anticipated, such as near the needle outlet. A grid-independency check was performed to determine the minimum number of computational cells required for a grid-independent flow simulation and ensure reasonable use of computational resources. The final meshes consisted of 477 000–783 000 cells (mean cell volume 0.7–2.1 \times 10^{-5} \, mm^3) depending on needle type and shape of the root canal.

No-slip boundary conditions were imposed to the walls of the root canal and of the needles, under the hypothesis of rigid, smooth and impermeable walls. The fluid flowed into the simulated domain through the
needle inlet and out of the domain through the root canal orifice, where atmospheric pressure was imposed. The canal and needle were assumed to be completely filled with irrigant. A velocity inlet boundary condition was selected for the inlet of the needle. A flat velocity profile with a constant axial velocity of 8.6 m s\(^{-1}\) was imposed at the inlet, which is consistent with a clinically realistic irrigant flow rate of 0.26 mL s\(^{-1}\) through a 30G needle (Boutsioukis et al. 2007a). The irrigant, sodium hypochlorite 1% aqueous solution, was modelled as an incompressible, Newtonian fluid, an assumption that is generally accepted for pure water and sparse aqueous solutions (Tilton 1999), with density \(\rho = 1.04\) g cm\(^{-3}\) and viscosity \(\mu = 0.99 \times 10^{-3}\) Pa s (Guerisoli et al. 1998). Gravity was included in the flow field in the direction of the negative z axis.

The commercial CFD code FLUENT 6.3 (Fluent Inc) was used to set up and solve the problem and to analyse the results. The Navier-Stokes equations which describe the time-dependent, three-dimensional, incompressible flow were solved by an implicit iterative solver. The numerical solution method uses a finite volume approach. An unsteady isothermal flow was assumed; steady-state solutions were obtained first and were used as initial conditions for the unsteady simulations, to avoid transient effects. No turbulence model was used, as the flow under these conditions was expected to be laminar (Boutsioukis et al. 2009, 2010a). All transport equations were discretized to be at least second-order accurate. A time-step of \(10^{-6}\) s was used throughout the calculations, which were carried out for a real flow time of 50 ms for each needle type and position. The convergence criterion for the maximum scaled residuals was set at \(10^{-4}\). Pressure, velocity and vorticity in selected areas of the flow domain were also monitored to ensure adequate convergence in every time-step. Computations were carried out in a computer cluster [45 dual core AMD Opteron 270 processors (Advanced Micro Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA)] running 64-bit SUSE Linux 10.1 (kernel version 2.6.16).

A series of computations for five different cases for each of the two needle types were performed; the flow fields computed were compared in terms of flow pattern, velocity magnitude, shear stress and apical pressure. Lagrangian particle tracking was performed as a post-processing operation, in which the routes of massless particles were tracked throughout the flow domain after release from the inlet of the needles.

**Results**

An unsteady (non-stationary) flow was identified in all cases, regardless of needle type or root canal taper. The time-averaged flow pattern in the apical part of the canal presented slight differences amongst the various
cases studied, for each needle type (Fig. 2) and was similar to a previous report (Boutsioukis et al. 2010b).

The side-vented needle could not achieve any significant irrigant replacement apically to its tip in a size 30, .02 taper root canal, as indicated by the distribution of the axial z-component of irrigant velocity in the apical part of the root canal (Fig. 3). Irrigant replacement was gradually improved in the size 30, .04 taper, 30, .06 and F3 canal shapes and the most efficient replacement, up to 2 mm apically to the tip of the needle, was noted in the size 60, .02 taper canal. The flat needle led to more extensive irrigant replacement in all cases, compared to the side-vented needle. Significant irrigant replacement was evident more than 1 mm apically to the needle tip in the size 30, .02 taper canal. Irrigant replacement was also gradually improved in the size 30, .04 taper, 30, .06 and F3 canals and the most efficient replacement, up to WL, was noted in the size 60, .02 taper canal. Irrigant flow coronally to the outlet (reverse flow) showed complete replacement in these parts of the canal irrespective of needle type or preparation size, although the magnitude of the velocity varied between cases.

The shear stress pattern on the canal wall presented differences according to canal shape and needle type (Fig. 4). A maximum shear stress of almost 11 000 N m$^{-2}$ was concentrated around the needle tip in the size 30, .02 taper root canal for both needle types.

**Figure 2** Triads of time-averaged contours of velocity magnitude (left) and vectors (middle) along the $z$-$y$ plane in the apical part of the root canal, and streamlines indicating the route of massless particles released downstream from the needle inlet and coloured according to time-averaged velocity magnitude (right). Particle trajectories provide visualization of the main fresh irrigant flow in three dimensions. The size and position of the vortices (flow structures where the fluid is rotating) apically to the side-vented needle varied (a–e). In the size 30, .02 taper canal (a) hardly any flow could be identified apically. The extent of the jet apically to the tip of the flat needle increased from case (f) to case (j) (f–j). Needles are coloured in red.
types, which coincided with the maximum velocity area (Fig. 2a,f). Extremely low shear stress occurred apically to the tip of the side-vented needle in the size 30, .02 taper canal (Fig. 4a). As the taper of the root canal increased, the region apically to the tip of the needle affected by high shear stress gradually extended towards the apex, although maximum shear stress decreased. A similar effect was noted for the flat needle. The area affected by high shear stress always surrounded the tip of the side-vented needle with maximum shear stresses concentrated on the wall facing the needle outlet (Fig. 4a–e). For the flat needle, high shear stress was identified apically to its tip and equally distributed circumferentially (Fig. 4f–j). For both needle types, the area affected by high shear stress was the largest in the size 60, .02 taper canal.

The time-averaged pressure developed at the apical foramen differed amongst the various cases and needle types (Fig. 5). In all cases, the flat needle led to higher mean pressure at the apical foramen, up to 417.4 ± 3.3 kPa in the size 30, .02 taper root canal. The side-vented needles presented significantly lower mean apical pressure, with maximum of 126.3 ± 1.9 kPa in the size 30, .02 taper root canal.

Figure 3 Distribution of the axial z-component of time-averaged irrigant velocity in the apical part of the canal as a function of distance from working length (WL), for the side-vented (top) and the flat needle (bottom). The needle is positioned at 3 mm short of WL. The scale of the vertical axis has been adjusted to 0–1 m s⁻¹ to highlight differences in the area apically to the needle tips. Velocities higher than 0.1 m s⁻¹ (horizontal green line) were considered clinically significant for adequate irrigant replacement.

Figure 4 Time-averaged distribution of shear stress on the root canal wall for the side-vented (a–e) and flat needle (f–j) in size 30, .02 taper, 30, .04, 30, .06, ProTaper F3 and size 60, .02 taper root canals, respectively. A logarithmic colour map was used to highlight the main differences. Only half of the root canal wall is presented to allow simultaneous evaluation of the needle position. Needles are coloured in red.
canal. Apical pressures showed a similar gradual decrease from sizes 30, .02 taper to 60, .02 for both needle types.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of root canal taper on irrigant flow inside a prepared root canal. To evaluate such an effect, five different canal shapes were studied. In the first three, the apical size was ISO 30 and the taper of the root canal was gradually increased (2%, 4% and 6%). The fourth case simulated a root canal with a variable taper, also with an apical size of ISO 30, but the taper of the root canal was adjusted using the ProTaper F3 instrument (Dentsply Tulsa Dental) as a reference. The fifth case (size 60, 2% taper) was selected to compare irrigant flow in tapered root canals to the one developed in a minimally tapered root canal. Two representative needle types were used in each of the five root canals simulated, to evaluate the effect of canal taper on irrigant flow and allow comparison with previous studies. Both needles were positioned at 3 mm short of WL to facilitate standardization between different cases studied, as it was not possible to position the needles closer to WL in the size 30, .02 taper canal.

The relevance of the z-velocity magnitude, the shear stress and the apical pressure to irrigation has been analysed previously (Boutsoukis et al. 2010b). In this study, an increase in the taper of the root canal was shown to have a direct effect on irrigant flow, resulting in more efficient replacement and debridement in the apical part of the root canal, apart from allowing penetration of the needle closer to WL (Albrecht et al. 2004). These findings corroborate previous studies (Albrecht et al. 2004, Huang et al. 2008). However, standardization of needle insertion depth is a prerequisite for a detailed comparison with the results of this study, because the effect of needle depth on irrigant flow has also been reported to be significant (Sedgley et al. 2005, Hsieh et al. 2007).

No significant difference in the antimicrobial efficacy of syringe irrigation in tapered and minimally tapered root canals has been reported previously (Hockett et al. 2008). In that study, syringe irrigation was performed using a side-vented needle positioned at 1.5 mm short of WL in canals prepared to size 45, .02 taper (minimally-tapered canals) and to size Protaper F3 (Dentsply Tulsa Dental) with further apical enlargement using a size 35, .02 taper hand instrument (tapered canals). Their results possibly reflect both the ability to mechanically remove microbes in suspension and microbes attached on the canal wall and also the chemical effect of the irrigant, sodium hypochlorite, on the microbes. Therefore, they should be compared with the results of this study regarding both irrigant replacement and wall shear stress. In this study, although irrigant replacement and wall shear stress distribution was overall more favourable in the size 60, .02 taper canal than in the F3 canal (Figs 3 and 4), limited differences could be identified within 1.2 mm apically to the needle tip. Therefore, positioning the needle at 1.5 mm short of WL could in fact lead to a very similar performance. The observed difference could be further reduced if the simulated cases were identical in terms of apical size and root canal taper to the root canals used in the previous study (Hockett et al. 2008). Consequently, results of this study are in agreement to the ones reported by Hockett et al. (2008).

In another study (Huang et al. 2008), it has been reported that less stained collagen was found on the root canal wall in size 20, .08 taper root canals than in size 20, .04 taper following irrigation with a side-vented needle positioned at 4 mm short of WL. This effect was less pronounced and even inverted in certain subgroups, when comparing size 40, .04 taper and 40, .08 taper root canals. According to the authors of that study, the stained collagen was mainly removed by the chemical effect of sodium hypochlorite, although detailed relevant data were not reported. It is possible
that the mechanical effect of the irrigant flow also contributed to the removal of the stained collagen. In this study, an increase in the taper of the root canal always resulted in improved irrigant replacement and wall shear stress distribution apically to the needle tip. Nevertheless, a simultaneous decrease in the maximum wall shear stress near the outlet of the side-vented needle was noted, which could have an effect on the mechanical debridement. It is possible that increasing the apical preparation size or taper of the root canal further than a certain value might in fact decrease the debridement efficacy of irrigation, because the average velocity and the wall shear stress decreases. A similar finding has been reported in a previous study simulating irrigant flow in root canals with different apical sizes (Boutsioukis et al. 2010c).

A noteworthy finding of this study was the advantage of the minimally tapered root canal preparation over the tapered ones in terms of irrigant replacement, wall shear stress and apical pressure. It appears that an almost parallel preparation (2% taper) with a large apical size (ISO 60) was the most favourable case. However, this finding should not be directly translated to a clinical suggestion. Improved irrigation efficacy should be collectively evaluated together with resistance to root fracture, possibility of iatrogenic root canal perforation and filling technique requirements prior to deciding the mechanical preparation strategy.

The space available around the needle for reverse flow of the irrigant towards the canal orifice is of critical importance for the overall efficacy of irrigation, as discussed in a previous study (Boutsioukis et al. 2010c). An increase in the apical size or taper of the root canal results in an increase in the cross-sectional area of the annulus (the area between two concentric circles) \( S \) formed between the needle and the root canal wall, according to the equations:

\[
S = \pi \frac{D_c^2}{4} - \pi \frac{D_{\text{ext}}^2}{4}
\]

(1)

\[
D_c = A + L \cdot T
\]

(2)

where \( D_c \) is the diameter of the root canal at a distance \( L \) short of WL, \( A \) is the apical size of the root canal, \( T \) is the root canal taper and \( D_{\text{ext}} \) is the external diameter of the needle. The inverse annulus area calculated for the cases studied appeared to be almost proportional to the mean apical pressure (Fig. 5).

Lack of adequate space around the needle and obstruction of the reverse flow led to extremely high pressures in the size 30 .02 taper canal using both needle types. In such a case, the assumptions of a constant flow rate at 0.26 mL s\(^{-1}\), a fixed needle position at 3 mm short of WL and a closed apical foramen would probably fail. Clinically, the operator would be unable either to depress the plunger of the syringe as fast as necessary, or to hold the needle in place. In both cases, the risk of irrigant extrusion would be extremely high. Yet, deviation of the root canal from the perfectly circular cross-section assumed towards an oval cross-section could provide an additional route for the escape of the irrigant.

During irrigation with the side-vented needle positioned at 3 mm short of WL, clinically significant irrigant replacement was limited to 1.5 mm apically to the needle tip in a root canal prepared to a size ProTaper F3 (Dentsply Tulsa Dental) and to 2 mm apically to the needle tip in a minimally tapered root canal (60, .02). This finding augments previous reports on the performance of the side-vented needle (Boutsioukis et al. 2010c) suggesting that this type of needle should be positioned within 1 mm short of WL to ensure adequate irrigant replacement in the root canal. The low risk of extrusion, as indicated by the relatively low apical pressures, provides additional support to this suggestion. On the other hand, the flat needle positioned at 3 mm short of WL achieved irrigant replacement almost to the WL in a size 60, .02 taper canal, whilst its performance was slightly less advantageous in the tapered cases studied. It appears that positioning this needle at 2 or 3 mm short of WL can provide effective irrigant replacement and wall shear stress, whilst reducing the risk of extrusion, in agreement to a previous study (Boutsioukis et al. 2010c). However, the findings of this study should be further challenged in \textit{ex vivo} and clinical studies to establish a more direct connection with clinical practice.

\section*{Conclusions}

An increase in the root canal taper was found to improve irrigant replacement and wall shear stress whilst reducing the risk for irrigant extrusion. Irrigant flow in a minimally tapered root canal with a large apical preparation size appeared more advantageous than in the tapered root canals with a smaller apical preparation size.
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