One-appointment endodontic therapy

Biological considerations
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A debate is ongoing among researchers and clinicians concerning the effectiveness of one-appointment versus multiple-appointment endodontic therapy for asymptomatic teeth with apical periodontitis. In a recent systematic review of the literature, Sathorn and colleagues reported no statistically significant difference in periapical wound healing between one- and multiple-appointment endodontic therapy for asymptomatic teeth with apical periodontitis.

In their systematic review, Sathorn and colleagues reported that only three studies were eligible for meta-analysis, and the sample size in each study was relatively small. In addition, the results of one study conflicted with the results of the other two studies. If the methodologies of independent studies are similar, the results should be reproducible.

Meta-analysis has several purposes when the literature contains studies with conflicting results, or when the studies have relatively small sample sizes. By combining the data of several independent studies regarding a specific topic, researchers can increase the statistical power by increasing the sample size. However, the process is not without its critics. If the study

ABSTRACT

Background. The authors conducted a literature review to present the best available biological evidence concerning one-appointment endodontic therapy for asymptomatic teeth with apical periodontitis.

Types of Studies Reviewed. Because of recent advances in technology, such as rotary engines and nickel-titanium instruments, some practitioners are performing one-appointment endodontic therapy for asymptomatic teeth with apical periodontitis. The authors reviewed the literature, which revealed only a small number of randomized, controlled clinical trials that have been conducted on one-appointment versus multiple-appointment endodontic therapy.

Results. As the apical canal preparation is enlarged, a greater percentage of bacteria is eradicated from infected root canals. In addition, sufficiently large apical root canal enlargement facilitates the delivery of antimicrobial irrigant to the apical portion of the canal. However, an association between positive or negative preobturation root canal culture results and the outcome of endodontic treatment has not been well-established.

Clinical Implications. The best available evidence, based on a systematic literature review, indicates that one-appointment endodontic therapy may be feasible in selected cases of apical periodontitis. However, additional randomized, controlled clinical trials are required.
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protocols of the individual studies are different, the conclusion drawn from the meta-analysis may not be completely accurate.

The question that we consider in this review article focuses on the quality of the evidence in the literature concerning one- and multiple-appointment endodontic therapy for teeth with apical periodontitis. Increasing the number of independent studies with high levels of evidence in a meta-analysis should increase the predictability of the treatment’s effectiveness. Accordingly, more randomized, controlled clinical studies are required to determine accurately the effectiveness of one-appointment endodontic therapy for teeth with apical periodontitis.

Rather than debate the effectiveness of endodontic treatment on the basis of the number of appointments, we should focus on the biological aspects of treatment effectiveness. The purpose of this article is to review the existing literature regarding the biological aspects of endodontics that must be evaluated when performing one-appointment endodontic therapy for asymptomatic teeth with apical periodontitis.

**BIOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Apical periodontitis is caused primarily by microorganisms in the root canal system. The primary goal of root canal therapy is to reduce or eliminate intracanal microorganisms and their by-products from the root canal system. If one-appointment therapy for asymptomatic teeth with apical periodontitis is to be performed, satisfactory root canal disinfection in one visit is necessary because intracanal medication cannot be used as an adjunct to further disinfect the root canal system, as it is in multiple-appointment therapy. The clinician relies on adequate working length control, mechanical instrumentation, antimicrobial irrigation and removal of the smear layer to eliminate intracanal bacteria. In addition, the clinician must achieve a bacteria-tight seal of the root canal system with obturating materials to prevent reinfection of the root canal and communication between the root canal and the periapical tissues.

**WORKING LENGTH CONTROL**

Adequate working length control is important in treating teeth with apical periodontitis, because bacterial contamination may extend to the apical few millimeters of the root canals. Leaving critical numbers of microorganisms in the root canal could result in persistent periradicular inflammation after endodontic therapy. The biological limit of root canal instrumentation and obturation ideally should be at the apical root canal constriction or the dentinocemental junction. Conventional radiographic measurements can be deceiving, because the apical foramen is not located at the apex in more than 60 percent of teeth. In addition, neither the apical root canal constriction nor the apical foramen is identifiable radiographically or clinically. Modern electronic apex locators have been shown to be reliable in measuring the root canal length within 0.5 millimeters from the apical foramen.

In contemporary endodontic therapy, both electronic apex locators and radiographic measurements should be used to obtain the best estimation of working length. Sjögren and colleagues found that instrumentation and obturation more than 2 mm short of the radiographic apex in teeth with apical periodontitis resulted in poorer treatment outcomes than those in other teeth. Chugal and colleagues reported that a 1-mm loss in working length increased the incidence of treatment failures by 14 percent in teeth with apical periodontitis. In addition, instrumentation and filling of the root canal beyond the apical foramen violate the periapical tissues and could result in poor treatment outcomes.

**MECHANICAL INSTRUMENTATION AND ANTIMICROBIAL IRRIGATION**

Mechanical instrumentation and irrigation with copious amounts of an antimicrobial are important factors in reducing the intracanal bacterial level. Several authors demonstrated that teeth with apical periodontitis have a poorer prognosis than those without apical periodontitis after root canal therapy. This is due, in part, to the difficulty of completely chemomechanically débriding infected root canal systems associated with apical periodontitis. Infected root canals usually harbor more microorganisms than root canals associated with irreversible pulpitis or partially vital pulp tissue. Therefore, the root canals associated with apical periodontitis must be enlarged chemomechanically to larger sizes than those not associated with apical periodontitis.

In their investigation of one-appointment

**ABBREVIATION KEY.** EDTA: Ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid. PCR: Polymerase chain reaction.
endodontic therapy for asymptomatic teeth with apical periodontitis, Björk and colleagues instrumented the root canals to a no. 40 Hedstrom file and irrigated them with 0.5 percent sodium hypochlorite. The results of 31 (58 percent) of 53 root canal cultures obtained before obturation were negative. In their one-visit study, Peters and Wesselink instrumented infected necrotic root canals by using no. 35 to no. 60 FlexoFiles (Dentsply Maillefer, Tulsa, Okla.) and irrigated them with 2 percent sodium hypochlorite. Eleven (67 percent) of 21 root canal cultures obtained before obturation had negative results. The latter study probably was able to attain a slightly higher percentage of negative preobturation culture results than the former study because of larger apical root canal preparations. The available evidence indicates no significant difference in antibacterial activity between 0.5 percent and 2.0 percent sodium hypochlorite in vivo. Therefore, the size of the apical root canal preparation probably accounts for the slightly different percentage of negative preobturation root canal culture results. Apical root canal enlargement. Several textbooks suggest that the apical root canal should be enlarged to at least three sizes larger than the first file binding at the apical constriction during root canal preparation. However, Wu and colleagues demonstrated that the first file to bind in the apical root canal does not necessarily reflect the true root canal diameter at the working length, because the apical portion of the root canal system is an ovoid, not round, configuration. Preparing the apical root canal to only three sizes larger than the first binding file does not ensure removal of the inner layer of dentin from all apical root canal walls or all infected necrotic pulp tissue.

Intracanal bacterial level. A systematic review of the literature by Baugh and Wallace indicated that the larger the apical root canal preparation, the higher the percentage of bacteria that is eliminated from the infected root canals. In addition, a sufficiently enlarged apical root canal facilitates the delivery of copious amounts of antimicrobial irrigants to the apical portion of the root canals to kill microorganisms. Nevertheless, conflicting studies show that a larger apical root canal preparation does not necessarily result in an increased bacterial reduction in the infected root canals. Mechanical instrumentation in combination with repeated irrigation with copious amounts of an antimicrobial agent is the most effective way to reduce the intracanal bacterial level. Dentists can use more flexible nickel-titanium instruments to enlarge the apical portion of the root canals. As mentioned above, controversy exists regarding the antimicrobial activity of various concentrations of sodium hypochlorite solution. Differences concerning the effectiveness of various concentrations of sodium hypochlorite against intracanal microorganisms and biofilm may be explained by the use of different in vitro research methodologies. For example, investigators recently reached contrasting results in their evaluations of various concentrations of sodium hypochlorite as an endodontic irrigant in vitro studies. In a comprehensive literature review of root canal irrigants, Zehnder concluded that there was no rationale for using sodium hypochlorite solutions at concentrations of more than 1 percent weight per volume. In fact, we could find no evidence-based outcome studies that clearly pointed to a statistically valid outcome benefit derived from the use of one concentration compared with another.

ROOT CANAL DISINFECTION

Because of the complexity of the root canal anatomy (such as lateral/accessory canals, apical ramifications, isthmuses and fins), complete root canal disinfection may be impossible to achieve in teeth with apical periodontitis regardless of the number of dental visits. In a histobacteriologic study, Nair and colleagues examined mesiobuccal or mesiolingual root canals of infected mandibular molars. They instrumented the canals with hand files (K-Files, SDS, Orange, Calif.) or NiTi rotary files (LightSpeed LSX, Discus Dental, Culver City, Calif.) to an apical size of no. 40. The canals were irrigated with 5.25 percent sodium hypochlorite and rinsed with 10 milliliters of 17 percent ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) to remove the smear layer. The authors obturated the canals with zinc...
oxide–eugenol cement and gutta-percha. Fourteen (88 percent) of 16 root canals still contained bacteria after one-appointment endodontic therapy. They concluded that contemporary instruments and irrigation alone could not remove biofilms in inaccessible areas of the root canal system in one-visit treatment, because of the anatomical complexity of the root canal system.

**ROOT CANAL CULTURE RESULTS**

Some investigators have found that a negative result of a preobturation culture of the root canal system appears to improve the outcome of endodontic therapy for teeth with apical periodontitis. However, a negative culture result does not necessarily imply a bacteria-free root canal system, because bacteria may be retained in complex areas of the system or embedded inside a biofilm and are inaccessible to bacterial sampling techniques.

Card and colleagues conducted a study that indicated that negative results of cultures from infected root canals might be obtained after increasing the size of the apical root canal preparation and by irrigating with copious amounts of an antimicrobial. However, because of variations in root canal anatomy and varying thicknesses of dentinal walls, not all root canals can be enlarged to no. 60 or no. 80 K-Files. Excessive removal of dentin by instrumentation may weaken the apical portion of the root canal and cause root fracture or perforation, thus compromising the treatment outcome. There is no consensus among investigators concerning the final file size of apical root canal preparations. Clinicians should determine the final file size for each root canal system according to the root canal morphology and periradicular status.

Positive or negative culture results simply indicate the presence or absence of culturable bacteria in the root canal system. However, culturing does not indicate the true bacterial count and/or virulence factors, which might be more important than the culture findings in relation to the development of apical periodontitis. In addition, anaerobic culturing is impractical in private practice, because it is expensive and time-consuming. Although polymerase chain reaction (PCR), a molecular technique, is more sensitive than the culturing technique in detecting intracanal bacteria, PCR cannot determine whether target DNA is from live or dead bacteria.

Some studies have concluded that satisfactory root canal disinfection can be completed in one visit for teeth with apical periodontitis, because the differences in outcomes were not statistically significant between one- and multiple-appointment endodontic therapy or between negative and positive preobturation root canal culture results. However, other studies found that it was difficult to achieve satisfactory root canal disinfection in one appointment, and intracanal medication, such as calcium hydroxide, was necessary to kill bacteria remaining in the root canals. Endodontic studies have reached different conclusions regarding the value of culturing, the need for interappointment intracanal medication and the optimal number of treatment visits.

The differences in findings may be attributable to variations in research methodologies, small sample sizes and inconsistent recall periods, as well as differing outcome criteria. However, it is clear that the presence of bacteria in the root canal system, especially in an incompletely obturated root canal system, is the central factor determining the ultimate outcome of endodontic therapy.

**COMPLEX ROOT CANAL SYSTEMS**

Unfortunately, available instrumentation and irrigation techniques, as well as intracanal medication are not able to eradicate intracanal bacteria in a complex root canal system regardless of the number of visits. Because root canal infection is the primary cause of apical periodontitis and some intracanal bacteria are inaccessible to the host’s innate and adaptive immune defense mechanisms, and antimicrobial agents may not be able to reach bacteria in the lateral/accessory canals, isthmuses and dentinal tubules, continuous improvement in root canal disinfection methodologies is needed to ensure the maximum reduction in intracanal microorganisms before root canal obturation.

**SMEAR LAYER REMOVAL**

The smear layer of dentin is a microfilm produced by the filing or reaming action of endodontic instruments. It is composed of organic and inorganic debris and may harbor microorganisms. The smear layer can prevent penetration of antimicrobial irrigants and intracanal antimicrobial medicaments into the dentinal tubules to kill microorganisms. Bacteria are known to penetrate into the dentinal tubules in teeth with
apical periodontitis.\textsuperscript{59-61} Removing the smear layer may be advantageous because bacteria remaining inside the dentinal tubules may constitute an important reservoir from which root canal infection or re-infection may occur during or after endodontic therapy.\textsuperscript{52,61} Several agents have been used to remove the smear layer, including EDTA, citric acid and a mixture of tetracycline, acid and a detergent.\textsuperscript{32,64} However, we could find no evidence of a relationship between smear layer removal or retention and the outcome of endodontic therapy.

**ROOT CANAL OBTURATION**

The major function of root canal obturation is to completely seal the root canal system with filling materials, thus preventing bacterial microleakage and protecting the periradicular tissues from developing disease. Dentists commonly use gutta-percha and sealer/cement as root canal obturating materials. In vitro and in vivo studies have shown that gutta-percha and sealer are unable to create a bacteria-tight seal of the root canal system and prevent bacterial penetration along the root canal walls in teeth without adequate coronal restoration.\textsuperscript{65-68} However, in teeth with adequate coronal restoration, bacteria remaining in the root canal system after endodontic therapy may become entombed or cut off from communication with the periapical tissues by obturating materials.

Sjögren and colleagues\textsuperscript{29} studied 22 teeth with positive preobturation root canal culture findings; 15 (68 percent) of these teeth healed satisfactorily after one-appointment endodontic therapy. Peters and Wesselink\textsuperscript{1} examined eight teeth with positive preobturation root canal culture results; seven (87.5 percent) of these teeth also healed satisfactorily after one-appointment endodontic therapy. The results of these studies suggest that some bacteria in the root canals with positive preobturation culture results might have been killed, entombed or cut off from communication with periapical tissues by antimicrobial treatment and/or the physical properties of root canal obturating materials.\textsuperscript{69}

Using bacteriologic culturing, Molander and colleagues\textsuperscript{70} recovered bacteria from nine (45 percent) of 20 teeth with filled root canals without clinical signs or symptoms of periapical disease or radiographic evidence of periradicular lesions. The authors concluded that as long as no pathway to the periapical tissues existed for remaining intracanal bacteria, a periapical tissue response would not develop. Nonetheless, the likelihood of intracanal bacteria being entombed or cut off from communication with periradicular tissues by root canal obturating materials is unpredictable.

Existing evidence shows that mineral trioxide aggregate, dentin bonding/resin-based composite and intermediate restorative materials can be used to seal the root canal orifices of obturated teeth to prevent bacterial penetration into the root canals.\textsuperscript{71,72} Because coronal leakage may be a factor in failed endodontic treatment,\textsuperscript{73} effective coronal restoration is important immediately after endodontic therapy.\textsuperscript{74-77}

**CONCLUSION**

The results of a recent systematic review of the literature\textsuperscript{1} suggest that one-appointment endodontic therapy may be feasible in selected cases of teeth with apical periodontitis. Teeth appropriate for one-appointment endodontic therapy should be free of clinical signs and/or symptoms, such as pain, swelling or draining sinus tract. The root canal anatomy should permit a sufficiently large apical root canal preparation that enables the dentist to remove intracanal bacteria effectively and deliver antimicrobial irrigants to the apical portion of the root canal and achieve optimum disinfection without causing perforation or transportation.

Regardless of the number of appointments, effective bacteriologic control of the root canal system is critical in endodontic therapy. Although endodontic treatment failures may be due to multiple factors—including root canal transportation, perforations, incomplete instrumentation and/or obturation, coronal leakage, vertical root fracture, presence of foreign bodies or host resistance—the primary etiology is bacterial infection in the root canal system.\textsuperscript{5-8,11}

Increasing the size of the apical root canal preparation and irrigating with copious amounts of sodium hypochlorite have been shown to significantly reduce the intracanal bacterial level\textsuperscript{69,73,76,79} and, presumably, will result in better treatment outcomes for asymptomatic teeth with
apical periodontitis. Nevertheless, limited evidence is available concerning the relationship between increasing the size of the apical root canal preparation and the outcome of endodontic therapy.

Only a small number of randomized, controlled clinical trials have been conducted regarding one-appointment endodontic therapy. Therefore, more randomized controlled clinical trials using contemporary treatment procedures are required to establish an evidence-based decision regarding one-appointment endodontic therapy for asymptomatic teeth with apical periodontitis. 
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