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Abstract

We evaluated the association between radiographically assessed extension and density of root canal fillings and postoperative apical radiolucenties (ARs) by using data from 288 participants in the Veterans Affairs Dental Longitudinal Study. Study subjects were not Veterans Affairs patients; all received their medical and dental care in the private sector. Generalized estimating equations were used to account for multiple teeth within subjects and to control for covariates of interest. Defective root filling density was associated with increased odds of postoperative AR among teeth with no preoperative AR (odds ratio, 3.0; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.3–7.1), although preoperative AR was the strongest risk factor for postoperative AR (odds ratio, 29.2; 95% CI, 13.6–63.0 among teeth with ideal density). Compared with well-extended root fillings, neither overextended nor underextended root fillings separately were related to postoperative AR, but when those 2 categories were collapsed into one poorly extended category, poor extension was related to postoperative AR (odds ratio, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.1–3.2). (J Endod 2008;34:798–803)

Key Words

Endodontics, epidemiology, longitudinal studies, periapical periodontitis, root canal therapy

With regard to published studies of root canal therapy (RCT) and apical periodontitis (AP), several consistencies have emerged, including: AP and RCT are common (1.4%–8.5% and 1.5%–21.5% of teeth, respectively); AP is more prevalent among root canal filled (RCF) teeth than non-RCF teeth (16.7%–61.0% of RCF teeth versus 0.53%–4.4% of non-RCF teeth); and overall quality of root fillings generally is poor (inadequate quality in 51%–86% of RCF teeth) (1–5). Criteria used to assess quality of root fillings often are based on the radiographically assessed characteristics of density (the extent to which the root filling material uniformly and completely fills the canals) and extension (the distance from the end of the root filling material to the radiographic apex). Root fillings designated as inadequate by these criteria do not necessarily lead to unsatisfactory endodontic results, and root fillings designated as adequate by these criteria do not necessarily lead to satisfactory endodontic results. Still, many follow-up clinical studies on RCF teeth suggest that poor root filling density and extension are associated with postoperative AP (4–7).

It is difficult to make direct comparisons between relevant articles because studies differ in many ways. Gaps in the literature include the following:

One gap relates to methodologic flaws. Correlations among teeth within individuals often are ignored (7–9). Analyses that do not account for clustering of observations (ie, teeth) within individuals ignore the appropriate correlation structure and can lead to incorrect inferences in hypothesis testing (10, 11), whereas analyses that randomly select one observation per person are inefficient because not all data are used.

Another gap relates to the limited populations studied. Conclusions made from epidemiologic studies generally are considered more valid if similar findings are observed among different populations and across different conditions. In one recent review (12), only one U.S. study (13) was included among the 11 identified observational cohort studies. In addition, all previous U.S. studies involved either patients from dental clinics or teaching hospitals (4, 13–16) or enrollees in dental insurance programs (17); none has used a population-based sample; thus, endodontic outcomes in the general U.S. population remain unstudied.

The third gap is that few longitudinal data are available. Compared with cariology and periodontology, epidemiologic data on endodontology are scarce. Cross-sectional designs or longitudinal designs with only 6–12 months of observation prevail among existing studies. Healing and growth of periapical lesions are dynamic processes that require considerable time; 3–4 years or more might be required to record a stable treatment outcome (18, 19).

To address these gaps we conducted the present study, the aim of which was to investigate the association between radiographically assessed extension and density of root fillings and the prevalence of apical radioluency (AR) 3 years after RCT, while controlling for the presence of preoperative AR and other tooth-level and person-level covariates.

Material and Methods

Data analyzed in this study were from the Veterans Affairs Dental Longitudinal Study (VADLS), an ongoing, closed-panel longitudinal study of oral health and disease
among 1,231 adult men aged 25–85 years at baseline. The cohort was established starting in 1968 through community-based recruitment of adult men from the greater Boston metropolitan area. Subjects were not patients of the VA system; rather, they received dental and medical care through the private sector.

One goal of the VADLS has been to identify determinants of oral health in an aging population. Participants had varying oral conditions at baseline, although all were free of chronic medical conditions. Since baseline, study participants have been seen once every 3 years for comprehensive dental and medical examinations. Dental examinations include both clinical and radiographic components. The clinical component records decayed, missing, or filled coronal tooth surfaces (DMFS) and periodontal status. The radiographic component includes a full mouth series of intraoral radiographs.

To date, the cohort has been under observation for more than 30 years. The average interval between VADLS exams has been approximately 38 months (21). The present study used the existing computerized VADLS database to identify a random sample of 853 dentate participants, each with a complete record for each of the 32 permanent teeth/tooth spaces at each examination cycle. The protocol for this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board on Research Involving Human Subjects at the VA Boston Healthcare System.

Our analysis includes only incident RCT, ie, those teeth that had RCT initiated and completed after the baseline examination. For these teeth, follow-up started at the cycle when RCT was first detected from radiographs (ie, the index cycle) and ended at the next examination cycle. At the next cycle, if there was a radiolucency detected around the root apex, the outcome was classified as presence of postoperative AR, regardless of whether a lesion was present preoperatively or whether it developed after treatment.

Determinations of root filling extension and density, in addition to AR, were made solely from available radiographs of diagnostic quality. Two second-year endodontic residents from Boston University independently reviewed study subjects’ intraoral radiographs. Before data collection, a training and calibration session for the radiographic examiners was conducted to assure adequate reliability of radiographic examination and to evaluate diagnostic criteria for endodontic assessments. Kappa values describing interexaminer reliability were excellent for the endodontic variables, ranging from 0.80–1.00, depending on the variable (22).

Diagnostic criteria for radiographic evaluation of AR, extension, and density were adapted from Odejo et al. (23):

**AR (Both Preoperative and Postoperative)**

- Present: periapical rarefaction contiguous with periodontal ligament space >1 mm wide, with absence of intact lamina dura.
- Not present: apical periodontal ligament space <1 mm thick.

Multirooted teeth were classified as AR present if at least 1 root met the criteria for AR.

**Extension**

- Underextended: >2 mm short of the radiographic apex.
- Well-extended: 0–2 mm short of the radiographic apex.
- Overextended: root filling material beyond the radiographic apex.

Multirooted teeth were classified as well-extended only if all roots were well-extended, overextended if any roots were overextended, and underextended otherwise.

**Results**

Eight hundred fifty-three participants contributed a total of 27,296 teeth or tooth spaces (Fig. 1). The present analysis included only the 609 teeth that received RCT after baseline and had complete data at 3 consecutive cycles (ie, the cycle preceding the index cycle; the index cycle; and the very next cycle). Two hundred eighty-eight unique individuals contributed to the final sample, with the number of teeth per subject ranging from 1–11 (Table 1).

Of the 609 analyzed teeth, 68 (11.2%) had postoperative AR at the end of follow-up (Table 2). Ideal density and adequate extension were observed in 216 teeth (35.5%), leaving 393 (64.5%) with unsatisfactory root filling quality. Preoperative AR existed in 79 teeth (13.0%). The mean age of participants at the time of RCT for each tooth was 61 years. RCT-related complications were noted infrequently: perforation was seen in only 0.5%, broken instruments in 1.2%, unfilled canals in 1.3%, unfilled roots in 1.6%, and insufficient length of root filling (<4 mm of root filling material in the most apical portion of the root canal) in 2.1% of teeth, respectively. In addition, only 3 teeth had open access, and 11 teeth were from individuals who had diabetes. Because these occurrences were few, these variables were not analyzed further.

Bivariate analyses (data not shown) revealed defective density, preoperative AR, and off-axis post orientation to be significantly asso-
associated with postoperative AR (p < .05). Stratified analyses suggested an interaction between defective density and preoperative AR, and preliminary assessment of potential confounders indicated that filling material, post orientation, the presence of a crown, tooth type, age, income, and smoking history were potential confounders.

In the final model (Table 3), defective density remained significantly associated with increased odds of postoperative AR after controlling for extension and preoperative AR (p < .0001). The significant interaction term between defective density and preoperative AR (p < .0001) demonstrated that the effect of defective density on the odds of postoperative AR differed depending on whether the tooth had preoperative AR. When using teeth with ideal density and no preoperative AR as the reference group, odds ratios (95% confidence interval [CI]) were 3.0 (1.3–7.1) for teeth with defective density but no preoperative AR, 29.2 (13.6–63.0) for teeth with ideal density and preoperative AR, and 33.2 (12.0–92.0) for teeth with both defective density and preoperative AR (Table 4). Controlling for the significant interaction term, neither acceptable density (relative to ideal density) nor extension was significantly associated with postoperative AR. However, when the underextended and overextended groups were combined into a single poorly extended category, a significant association between poor extension and odds of postoperative AR was observed, when compared with well-extended root fillings (odds ratio, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.1–3.2). Variables under investigation but not retained in the final model as a result of nonsignificance and minimal confounding included type of root filling material, post orientation, presence of crown, tooth type, age at access, income, smoking history, number of posts, year of RCT, education, hypertension, and body mass index.

Finally, because the impact of preoperative AR was so strong, we performed an analysis restricted to the 530 teeth without preoperative AR (Table 5). In this model, defective density remained the only explanatory variable significantly associated with increased odds of postoperative AR (p = .022). Here, the combined category of poor extension conferred no significantly increased odds of postoperative AR (odds ratio, 1.8; 95% CI, 0.8–3.7). Income remained in the model as a result of its confounding effect on the main effects of interest, but its large p value (.798) indicates that income itself was not significantly predictive of postoperative AR.

### Table 1. Number of RCF Teeth Contributed by Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of RCF Teeth Contributed Per Subject</th>
<th>No. of Subjects (%)</th>
<th>Total No. of RCF Teeth Contributed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>153 (53)</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>58 (20)</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32 (11)</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18 (6)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10 (4)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>288 (100)</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n does not add up to 609 because of missing values.

as the reference group, odds ratios (95% confidence interval [CI]) of postoperative AR were 3.0 (1.3–7.1) for teeth with defective density but no preoperative AR, 29.2 (13.6–63.0) for teeth with ideal density and preoperative AR, and 33.2 (12.0–92.0) for teeth with both defective density and preoperative AR (Table 4). Controlling for the significant interaction term, neither acceptable density (relative to ideal density) nor extension was significantly associated with postoperative AR. However, when the underextended and overextended groups were combined into a single poorly extended category, a significant association between poor extension and odds of postoperative AR was observed, when compared with well-extended root fillings (odds ratio, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.1–3.2). Variables under investigation but not retained in the final model as a result of nonsignificance and minimal confounding included type of root filling material, post orientation, presence of crown, tooth type, age at access, income, smoking history, number of posts, year of RCT, education, hypertension, and body mass index.

Finally, because the impact of preoperative AR was so strong, we performed an analysis restricted to the 530 teeth without preoperative AR (Table 5). In this model, defective density remained the only explanatory variable significantly associated with increased odds of postoperative AR (p = .022). Here, the combined category of poor extension conferred no significantly increased odds of postoperative AR (odds ratio, 1.8; 95% CI, 0.8–3.7). Income remained in the model as a result of its confounding effect on the main effects of interest, but its large p value (.798) indicates that income itself was not significantly predictive of postoperative AR.
TABLE 3. Final Multivariable Logistic Regression Model of Associations between Explanatory Variables and Postoperative AR (N = 609 Teeth)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>Underextended</td>
<td>.199</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8–3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overextended</td>
<td>.082</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0–3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Well-extended (reference)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>.290</td>
<td>1.5 (0.7–3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Defective</td>
<td>.028*</td>
<td>See Table 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ideal (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative AR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt;.0001*</td>
<td>See Table 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defective density, preoperative AR</td>
<td>See Table 4</td>
<td>&lt;.0001*</td>
<td>See Table 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant at .05 level.

Discussion

After controlling for preoperative AR, defective density remained independently, significantly associated with postoperative AR. Voids in the root filling represent spaces that residual microflora could inhabit and subsequently transport endotoxins to the root apex, stimulating an inflammatory response (4). Our finding of significant interaction between preoperative AR and defective density suggests that the effect of defective density differs depending on whether preoperative AR exists; although our results confirm the importance of homogenously dense root fillings, this especially is important when the tooth does not exhibit preoperative AR.

Underextended or overextended root fillings might lead to a poorer prognosis compared with well-extended root fillings, but our analyses failed to show significant associations between either underextension or overextension and postoperative AR when other factors were considered simultaneously, no matter whether preoperative AR existed or not. This finding agrees with some previous studies (8, 14), and there are several possible explanations. In the case of underextension, if space is left apical to the tip of the filling material but the apex is free of bacteria, underextension is unlikely to increase the risk of periapical inflammation. In the case of overextension, a small amount of excess root filling material extruded into the periapical area might not be enough to induce a significant foreign body reaction. In addition, the number of teeth in the underextended or overextended group in our sample might be too small for statistically significant influences to be detected. To address this hypothesis, we collapsed underextended and overextended teeth into a single category and found that poor extension conferred significantly increased odds of postoperative AR compared with teeth with well-extended root fillings, but only in the presence of preoperative AR; this would be consistent with inadequate eradication of bacteria from the root canal space. Radiographic assessment of root filling extension and density is more easily quantified than antibacterial management, which might have resulted in an overemphasis on these factors in some studies.

In the final regression model, preoperative AR was of greater significance than other variables evaluated. This makes intuitive sense because (1) teeth with preoperative AR presumably already have bacteria present in the apical regions of their root canal systems, whereas teeth without preoperative AR might or might not have bacteria present there; and (2) eradicating bacteria already present throughout the root canal system is more difficult than preventing bacteria from ever reaching the apical regions of the root. However, our study found a much greater impact of preoperative AR on prognosis than that described previously. This could be explained in part by differences in diagnostic criteria used to define AR between the present study and other studies (28–30) or by differences in the study population and study design (31). For example, the present study participants are relatively older, so their ability to repair preexisting periapical lesions might be less than in younger populations, and thus they might be at greater risk for radiographically evident postoperative inflammation (32). It might take longer for an older host to heal an existing lesion, even after well-performed RCT; it has been reported that delayed healing of periapical radiolucency could occur as long as 27 years after treatment (19). The 3-year follow-up in our study might not have been sufficient for some preoperative ARs to heal completely.

In the presence of preoperative AR, the effect of other factors investigated here seems less important, consistent with other studies (29, 33, 34). There are several possible explanations for this consistency. First, many investigated factors truly might not have a confounding effect. Second, the study might have insufficient power to detect relatively small effects associated with certain covariates. Finally, some covariates were excluded from regression modeling procedures because they either had too imbalanced a distribution or too few occurrences to provide meaningful point estimates and CIs. Regardless, for most factors under investigation, the 288 subjects and 609 teeth provided a large enough sample for GEE methodology to produce consistent estimates (25).

A total of 327 RCF teeth were excluded from the analysis because they already had received RCT before baseline (Fig. 1). Additional analyses (not shown here) revealed no meaningful differences between these 327 teeth and the 1025 teeth that received RCT after baseline, except that the excluded teeth tended to be from older subjects and were

TABLE 4. Assessment of Interaction between Defective Density and Preoperative AR in the Final Model (N = 609 Teeth)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Odds Ratio For Postoperative AR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Preoperative AR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Defective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ideal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 5. Final Multivariable Logistic Regression Model of Associations between Explanatory Variables and Postoperative AR (Restricted to N = 530 Teeth without Preoperative AR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>Underextended</td>
<td>.460</td>
<td>1.5 (0.6–3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overextended</td>
<td>.197</td>
<td>2.0 (0.8–5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Well-extended (reference)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>.467</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5–4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Defective</td>
<td>.022*</td>
<td>3.2 (1.3–8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ideal (reference)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>.798</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4–2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant at .05 level.
The prevalence of postoperative AR (11.2%) among the 609 analyzed RCF teeth is slightly lower than the frequencies of AR reported in the literature review. This could be partly because our study population is a community-based cohort, whereas previous studies mostly involved clinic patients. The satisfactory quality of root filling in this sample (35.5%) is comparable with the reported rate in the literature (ie, 30%–40%), which emphasizes the need for improving root filling quality in endodontic practice.

Only white men were included in the present study sample, so caution should be exercised when generalizing these results to other populations. However, because subjects received dental and medical care in the private sector, this sample likely was more socially diverse than participants recruited in many clinical studies, such as those conducted in dental schools or through dental insurance programs (4, 13–17). It is noteworthy, however, that there have been no consistent findings in the literature to indicate that root filling quality or outcome varies by race, ethnicity, or sex.

As with all retrospective studies, data quality was dependent on the availability and completeness of documentation. Data collection for many variables was restricted to available information from the electronic database, and certain variables that might be related to postoperative AR were not available (eg, bacterial levels in the root canal space and adequacy of the coronal restoration). With respect to the collected endodontic variables, potential misclassifications exist for several reasons. First, endodontic variables were assessed on the basis of radiographs, and the use of 2-dimensional radiographs to represent 3-dimensional structures can be problematic in quantifying variables such as root filling extension and density. Second, this study operated under the assumption that postoperative AR is an undesired health outcome, given the general correlation between AR and histologically confirmed inflammatory status of the periapical tissues (35). Third, assessment of periapical health did not involve clinical evaluation of study subjects. Although postoperative ARs are not always associated with clinical symptoms (12), not all ARs represent chronic AP, they could instead be periapical cysts, foreign body reactions, or scar tissue. Finally, radiographic assessment is an uncertain process with wide variations among dentists, but this concern was minimized in our study by employing similarly trained examiners and calibrating them before data collection.

In conclusion, the major findings from this follow-up study were that: (1) defective root filling density was associated with increased odds of postoperative AR, but only among teeth without preoperative AR; (2) the most significant risk factor for postoperative AR was the presence of preoperative AR; (3) the statistical significance of root filling extension was dependent on how the variable was classified; and (4) none of the other tooth-level or person-level covariates assessed was significantly related to postoperative AR. Failure of endodontic treatment is caused by microbes and their by-products being introduced into or remaining in the root canal system and periapical region, and root fillings with inadequate extension or density can only facilitate the infectious process.
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