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The shape of root canals cross-sectioned through their roots at 2 mm from their apices and its correlation with the D0 diameter of endodontic instruments was evaluated in 40 first upper molars. The molars were grouped according to age: under 13 yr (children), 18 to 20 yr (adolescents), 30 to 40 yr (adults), and over 50 yr. Evaluation of the root canal diameters revealed that the shapes were predominantly circular in the palatal canal, mostly flat in the mesiobuccal canal, and circular or flat in equal proportions in the distobuccal root. Age does not seem to affect the shape of the canals. Narrowing with age was statistically significant (p < 0.05) for palatal and mesiobuccal canals only. Correlation between the maximum diameter of the canals and the instruments was varied. Even in old age, diameters were observed that would require instruments of a size that would be impossible to use, because one internal diameter would exceed the root’s external diameter in a different direction (i.e., intimal buccolingual diameter of #80 and external mesiodistal diameter of #70).

Current recommendations for endodontic treatment require that both instrumentation and obturation do not go beyond the dentin-cement constriction (1). However, this constriction may not exist at all or may be difficult to identify clinically (2). Thus, a conventional limit has been set at 1, 1.5, or even 2 mm from the root apex (3).

The 2 or 3 final millimeters of the canal are of vital importance, and their preparation must be meticulous to achieve a conical or cylindrical configuration of circular cross-section (4–6), allowing apical scaling and preventing leakage to or from the canal. A frequent question is how far instrumentation must proceed. Some authors have attempted, without success, to establish rules to limit apical widening (7–9). Furthermore, recommendations for curved canals indicate that apical instrumentation should not go beyond #25 or #30 (9).

Because the question remains as to whether or not apical anatomy allows for such attempts, the objectives of this study are to assess the root canals of human first upper molars of known age at ~2 mm from their apices in terms of diameter and shape and compare the diameters to the D0 point of the endodontic instruments that were used.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Forty permanent first upper molars of known age extracted for caries from patients free from advanced periodontal disease were thoroughly cleaned and disinfected, and divided into groups of 10 as follows:

- **Children**: molars with developed apices extracted from patients under 13 yr old
- **Adolescents**: patients 18 to 20 yr old
- **Adults**: patients 30 to 40 yr old
- **Elderly**: patients over 50 yr old.

The apical 2 mm of the root were removed with a diamond disk. At this point, a cross-section of ~2 mm in thickness was obtained. The section was washed, dried, and fixed on its larger surface with liquid, instant-drying glue to a celluloid slide. The surface of each section was stained with Pelikan blue ink to improve observation of the canals. Excess ink was removed with a damp swab. The mesiodistal and buccolingual diameters of all of the root canals were measured by means of a light microscope (DRC Zeiss), with a magnification of ×6.3; the results were tabulated.

If more than one canal was detected on the section surface, a radiographic analysis was performed to determine whether both canals were, in effect, separate and unrelated or were branches of a single canal. If the canals proved to be branches, only the larger of the two was considered.

The shape of the canals was determined in keeping with the following classification based on mesiodistal and buccolingual diameters:

- **Circular**: when both diameters were equal
- **Oval**: when the largest diameter exceeded the smallest by less than a radius
- **Flat**: when the largest diameter exceeded the smallest by more than a radius; this category included tear-shaped, hourglass-shaped, ribbon-shaped canals, etc.

To establish the correlation between "diameter of canal-caliber of instrument," the largest diameter was compared with the diameter of standard endodontic instruments at the D0 point. This point, according to ISO standards, corresponds to the smallest diameter of the instrument located in the extreme point of the active or cutting part of the instrument and its measurement (expressed in hundredths of millimeters, with a tolerance of ±0.02 mm), which corresponds to the number of the instrument (8). If the D0 point of
The instrument did not coincide exactly with this diameter, the next larger instrument was used.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows that the shape of the canals varies according to age group. The circular shapes predominate in the palatal roots, the flat in the mesiobuccal roots, the circular in the mesiopalatal roots, and both the circular and flat were of high incidence in the distobuccal roots. Statistical analysis of the data revealed no significant differences (p > 0.05) between age groups in the distribution of shapes.

Statistical analysis of the data on diameter variations induced by maturity and aging revealed statistically significant changes (p < 0.05) for palatal and mesiobuccal canals only. In the first case, there were differences between children and adolescents (594 ± 121 vs. 466 ± 133, p = 0.04) and between adults and the elderly (491 ± 123 vs. 342 ± 133, p = 0.03) and in the second, only between adolescents and adults (493 ± 215 vs. 332 ± 72, p = 0.046). Variations in the distobuccal canal showed no significant differences (p > 0.05).

The correlation between diameter of the canal and caliber of the instrument is expressed as percentages in Figs. 2 to 5.

DISCUSSION

The shape of the canal is undoubtedly related to the shape of the root; yet, this association is not always seen in all clinical cases. If we consider the total sample of roots, regardless of age groups, in palatal canals the round shapes predominated over the oval and the oval over the flat. Conversely, in mesiobuccal canals, the flat shapes predominated over the oval and the oval over the round. In mesiopalatal canals, round shapes predominated over the flat and the oval were the least frequent. In distobuccal canals, the distribution of shapes was similar to that of mesiopalatal canals, but the difference in incidence between round and flat shapes was less marked.

We have not found reports in the literature on the shape of the canals in the vicinity of the foramen, except for the study of Visvisian et al. (10) who also considered variations with age. Our results are in keeping with this study.

The influence of age on the shape of the canals would not seem to be relevant, given that the percentage distribution was similar for
all age groups, and the statistical analysis revealed that there were no significantly statistical differences. It is noteworthy, however, that flat shapes and ribbon shapes persist near the apex, even in elderly patients, particularly in the mesiovestibular canal. This finding may be due to the fact that concentric narrowing of ribbon canals with age is statistically significant only along the smallest diameter and not along the largest diameter. In oval canals, narrowing is only statistically significant along the largest diameter. Thus, ribbon canals retain their shape even in elderly patients, whereas oval canals tend to turn circular.

The correlation of the largest diameter of the canal with the D0 point of the instrument was individually analyzed for each root.

**Palatal Canal**

Round canals could be prepared in children with a #80 instrument or larger. This caliper may be insufficient; in some cases, a #100 instrument was necessary. Kerekes and Tronstad (11) presented cases in which instruments of calibers over 140 were necessary, regardless of age.

In the groups of adolescents, adults, and elderly patients, the predominant caliber to achieve apical preparation of this canal was #55. However, this caliper may be insufficient (i.e. some cases required as much as #80).

The shape and size of the palatal canal would allow for endodontic treatment involving marked apical widening. This canal, however, exhibits many curvatures toward the buccal that cannot be detected radiographically (12) and that would preclude the use of instruments of a large caliber. Tronstad (6) states that all canals should be treated as if they were curved. This recommendation would hold particularly true for the palatal canal of the upper molars. The type of apical preparation to be used in such wide canals treated with techniques for curved canals (step-back or crown-down or their variants), with reduced apical widening is still a matter of study.

**Mesiobuccal Canal**

The buccolingual width of this canal in the vicinity of the apex at all ages is noteworthy. Tear-shaped canals were very frequent, possibly due to the process of dentin deposition that occurs with age and that, in some cases, would lead to the formation of the fourth canal (13). Gutiérrez and Gigoux (12) report on the presence of prolongations of the canals of the first upper molar; in particular, in the case of the mesiobuccal canal, which impairs cleaning and shaping. These prolongations would correspond to tear-shaped canals in cross-section.

Our data reveal that apical preparation in children would require a caliper of at least 90. These results are in fairly good agreement with those of Kerekes and Tronstad (11), who also report cases in which a #140 instrument was necessary.

In the groups of adolescent, adult, and elderly patients the greatest correlation was with the #40 instrument. However, all groups exhibited a percentage of cases that correspond to a greater caliber, reaching values as large as 90. Morphologically, the mesiobuccal root does not allow the use of instruments of such caliber, because they would exceed the smallest diameter of the root (13).

Characteristics of the mesiobuccal canal allow for clinical instrumentation, with techniques designed for curved canals. The question remains, as for the palatal canal, what the results will be when techniques that involve minimal apical widening are applied to such wide canals.
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